

# 2023 Rule of Law Report - targeted stakeholder consultation

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

## Introduction

---

The annual Rule of Law Report lies at the centre of the European rule of law mechanism, which acts as a preventive tool, deepening multilateral dialogue and joint awareness of rule of law issues. So far, three editions of the Rule of Law Report have been published in 2020, 2021 and 2022.

In the preparation of the first three editions of the Rule of Law Report, the Commission has relied on a diversity of relevant sources, including from Member States, country visits, and stakeholders' contributions collected through the targeted stakeholder consultation [1]. The information provided has informed the Commission's country-specific assessments in preparing the Report. Building on the positive experience from the first three editions of the Rule of Law Report, the Commission is now inviting stakeholders to provide written contributions for the preparation of the 2023 Rule of Law Report through this targeted consultation.

The contribution to be provided should include (1) information on measures taken to implement the recommendations addressed to the Member State in the 2022 Rule of Law report, as well as developments with regard to the points raised in the respective country chapter and (2) any other significant developments since January 2022 [2] falling under the 'type of information' outlined in the next section.

The input should be short and concise and summarise information related to one or more of the areas referred to in the template. You are invited to focus on the areas that relate to the scope of work and expertise of your organisation. Existing reports, statements, legislation or other documents may be referenced with a link (no need to provide the full text). Stakeholders are encouraged to make references to any contributions already provided in a different context or to Reports and documents already published. Contributions should focus on significant developments both as regards the legal framework and its implementation in practice.

**If you wish to submit information concerning several Member States, you will have to fill-in the questionnaire separately for each Member States (due to the size of the questionnaire). There is no limit to the number of contributions submitted by a single participant. In such cases, you are not required to repeat the information in the section "about you" that is non-mandatory nor the information on horizontal developments.**

Please provide your contribution by **20 January 2023**. Should you have any requests for clarifications or encounter difficulties in filling in the questionnaire, you can contact the Commission at the following email

address: rule-of-law-network@ec.europa.eu.

[1] For the consultation for the 2022 Report, see [https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/2022-rule-law-report-targeted-stakeholder-consultation\\_en](https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/2022-rule-law-report-targeted-stakeholder-consultation_en)

[2] Unless the information was already submitted in the consultation for the previous Rule of Law Reports.

## Type of information

---

The topics are structured according to four pillars: I. Justice system; II. Anti-corruption framework; III. Media pluralism; and IV. Other institutional issues related to checks and balances. The replies could include aspects set out below under each pillar. This can include challenges, current work streams, positive developments and best practices:

### Legislative developments

- Newly adopted legislation
- Legislative drafts currently discussed in Parliament
- Legislative plans envisaged by the Government

### Policy developments

- Implementation of legislation
- Evaluations, impact assessment, surveys
- White papers/strategies/actions plans/consultation processes
- Follow-up to reports/recommendations of Council of Europe bodies or other international organisations
- Important administrative measures
- Generalised practices

### Developments related to the judiciary / independent authorities

- Important case law by national courts
- Important decision/opinions from independent bodies/authorities
- State of play on terms, nominations and expired mandates for high-level positions (e.g. Supreme Court, Constitutional Court, Council for the Judiciary, heads of independent authorities included in the scope of the questionnaire[1])

### Any other relevant developments

- Respondents are free to add any further information, which they deem relevant; however, this should be short and to the point.

If there are no changes, it is sufficient to indicate this and the information covered in the contributions for the previous Rule of Law Reports should not be repeated.

[1] Such as: media regulatory authorities and bodies, national human rights institutions, equality bodies, ombudsman institutions, supreme audit institutions and, where they exist, transparency authorities.

## About you

---

\* I am giving my contribution as

- ☐ Academic/research institution
- ☐ Business association
- ☒ Civil society organisation/NGO
- ☐ International organisation
- ☐ Judicial association or network
- ☐ Media organisation or association
- ☐ Public authority or network of public authorities
- ☐ Other

\* Organisation name

*250 character(s) maximum*

The Bulgarian Center for Not-for-Profit Law (BCNL)

Main Areas of Work

- ☐ Justice System
- ☐ Anti-corruption
- ☐ Media Pluralism
- ☒ Other

If "Other", please specify

Freedom of association, freedom of assembly, social entrepreneurship

Please insert an URL towards your organisation's main online presence or describe your organisation briefly:

*500 character(s) maximum*

<http://bcnl.org/en>

Transparency register number

Check if your organisation is in the transparency register. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making

\* Country of origin

Please add the country of origin of your organisation

- ☐ Afghanistan
- ☐ Albania

- ☐ Algeria
- ☐ Andorra
- ☐ Angola
- ☐ Antigua and Barbuda
- ☐ Argentina
- ☐ Armenia
- ☐ Australia
- ☐ Austria
- ☐ Azerbaijan
- ☐ Bahamas
- ☐ Bahrain
- ☐ Bangladesh
- ☐ Barbados
- ☐ Belarus
- ☐ Belgium
- ☐ Belize
- ☐ Benin
- ☐ Bhutan
- ☐ Bolivia
- ☐ Bosnia and Herzegovina
- ☐ Botswana
- ☐ Brazil
- ☐ Brunei Darussalam
- ☒ Bulgaria
- ☐ Burkina Faso
- ☐ Burundi
- ☐ Cabo Verde
- ☐ Cambodia
- ☐ Cameroon
- ☐ Canada
- ☐ Central African Republic
- ☐ Chad
- ☐ Chile
- ☐ China
- ☐ Colombia
- ☐ Comoros
- ☐ Congo
- ☐ Costa Rica
- ☐ Côte D'Ivoire
- ☐ Croatia
- ☐ Cuba
- ☐ Cyprus
- ☐ Czechia
- ☐ Democratic Republic of the Congo
- ☐ Denmark
- ☐ Djibouti
- ☐ Dominica

- ☐ Dominican Republic
- ☐ Ecuador
- ☐ Egypt
- ☐ El Salvador
- ☐ Equatorial Guinea
- ☐ Eritrea
- ☐ Estonia
- ☐ Eswatini
- ☐ Ethiopia
- ☐ Fiji
- ☐ Finland
- ☐ France
- ☐ Gabon
- ☐ Gambia
- ☐ Georgia
- ☐ Germany
- ☐ Ghana
- ☐ Greece
- ☐ Grenada
- ☐ Guatemala
- ☐ Guinea
- ☐ Guinea Bissau
- ☐ Guyana
- ☐ Haiti
- ☐ Honduras
- ☐ Hungary
- ☐ Iceland
- ☐ India
- ☐ Indonesia
- ☐ Iran
- ☐ Iraq
- ☐ Ireland
- ☐ Israel
- ☐ Italy
- ☐ Jamaica
- ☐ Japan
- ☐ Jordan
- ☐ Kazakhstan
- ☐ Kenya
- ☐ Kiribati
- ☐ Kuwait
- ☐ Kyrgyzstan
- ☐ Laos
- ☐ Latvia
- ☐ Lebanon
- ☐ Lesotho
- ☐ Liberia

- ☐ Libya
- ☐ Liechtenstein
- ☐ Lithuania
- ☐ Luxembourg
- ☐ Madagascar
- ☐ Malawi
- ☐ Malaysia
- ☐ Maldives
- ☐ Mali
- ☐ Malta
- ☐ Marshall Islands
- ☐ Mauritania
- ☐ Mauritius
- ☐ Mexico
- ☐ Micronesia
- ☐ Monaco
- ☐ Mongolia
- ☐ Montenegro
- ☐ Morocco
- ☐ Mozambique
- ☐ Myanmar
- ☐ Namibia
- ☐ Nauru
- ☐ Nepal
- ☐ Netherlands
- ☐ New Zealand
- ☐ Nicaragua
- ☐ Niger
- ☐ Nigeria
- ☐ North Korea
- ☐ North Macedonia
- ☐ Norway
- ☐ Oman
- ☐ Pakistan
- ☐ Palau
- ☐ Panama
- ☐ Papua New Guinea
- ☐ Paraguay
- ☐ Peru
- ☐ Philippines
- ☐ Poland
- ☐ Portugal
- ☐ Qatar
- ☐ Republic of Moldova
- ☐ Romania
- ☐ Russian Federation
- ☐ Rwanda

- ☐ Saint Kitts and Nevis
- ☐ Saint Lucia
- ☐ Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
- ☐ Samoa
- ☐ San Marino
- ☐ Sao Tome and Principe
- ☐ Saudi Arabia
- ☐ Senegal
- ☐ Serbia
- ☐ Seychelles
- ☐ Sierra Leone
- ☐ Singapore
- ☐ Slovakia
- ☐ Slovenia
- ☐ Solomon Islands
- ☐ Somalia
- ☐ South Africa
- ☐ South Korea
- ☐ South Sudan
- ☐ Spain
- ☐ Sri Lanka
- ☐ Sudan
- ☐ Suriname
- ☐ Sweden
- ☐ Switzerland
- ☐ Syrian Arab Republic
- ☐ Tajikistan
- ☐ Tanzania
- ☐ Thailand
- ☐ Timor-Leste
- ☐ Togo
- ☐ Tonga
- ☐ Trinidad and Tobago
- ☐ Tunisia
- ☐ Turkey
- ☐ Turkmenistan
- ☐ Tuvalu
- ☐ Uganda
- ☐ Ukraine
- ☐ United Arab Emirates
- ☐ United Kingdom
- ☐ United States of America
- ☐ Uruguay
- ☐ Uzbekistan
- ☐ Vanuatu
- ☐ Venezuela
- ☐ Viet Nam

- ☐ Yemen
- ☐ Zambia
- ☐ Zimbabwe

First name

Nadia

Surname

Shabani

Email Address of the organisation (this information will not be published)

[REDACTED]

#### \* Publication of your contribution and privacy settings

You can choose whether you wish for your contribution to be published and whether you wish your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

- ☐ Anonymous - Only your type of respondent, country of origin and contribution will be published. Organisation name, URL, transparency register number, first name and surname given above will not be published. **To maintain anonymity, please refrain from mentioning the name of your organisation and any details from which your organisation can be identified in the rest of your contribution.**
- ☒ Public - Your personal details (name, organisation name, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution).
- ☐ No publication - Your contribution will not be published. Elements of your contribution may be referred to anonymously in documents produced by the Commission based on this consultation.

☒ I agree with the personal data protection provisions.

[Specific privacy statement targeted stakeholder consultation 2023 rule of law report.pdf](#)

## Questions on horizontal developments

---

In this section, you are invited to provide information on general horizontal developments or trends, both positive and negative, covering all or several Member States. In particular, you could mention issues that are common to several Member States, as well as best practices identified in one Member State that could be replicated. Moreover, you could refer to your activities in the area of the four pillars and sub-topics (an overview of all sub-topics can be found below), and, if you represent a Network of national organisations, to the support you might have provided to one of your national members.

Overview topics for contribution

[list of topics 2023 Report.pdf](#)

Please provide any relevant information on horizontal developments here

*5000 character(s) maximum*



## Questions for contribution

---

The following four pillars (I.-IV.) are sub-divided into topics (A., B., etc.) and sub-topics (1., 2., 3., etc.). For each of the topics and sub-topics, you are invited to provide (1) information on measures taken to implement the recommendations addressed to the Member States in the 2022 Rule of Law report, as well as developments with regard to the points raised in the respective country chapter of the 2022 Rule of Law Report and (2) any other significant developments since January 2022<sup>[1]</sup>. Please include a link to and reference relevant legislation/documents (in the national language and/or where available, in English) if relevant. Significant developments can include challenges, positive developments and best practices, covering both legislative developments or implementation and practices.

If there are developments you consider relevant under each of the four pillars that are not mentioned in the sub-topics, please add them under the section "other - please specify". Only significant developments should be covered.

Information provided in reply to the first question under each pillar, related to the follow-up to the recommendations, does not need to be repeated in subsequent parts of the questionnaire, but can be cross-referenced in the subsequent questions, where relevant. All other questions are not limited to the recommendations, but as in previous years, cover the entire scope of the Report.

[1] Unless already covered in the input for the previous Rule of Law Reports.

Member State covered in contribution [only one choice possible]

**If you wish to submit information concerning several Member States, please fill in the questionnaire separately for each Member State. There is no limit to the number of contributions submitted by a single participant.**

- ☐ Austria
- ☐ Belgium
- ☒ Bulgaria
- ☐ Croatia
- ☐ Cyprus
- ☐ Czechia
- ☐ Denmark
- ☐ Estonia
- ☐ Finland
- ☐ France
- ☐ Germany
- ☐ Greece
- ☐ Hungary
- ☐ Ireland
- ☐ Italy
- ☐ Latvia
- ☐ Lithuania

- ☐ Luxembourg
- ☐ Malta
- ☐ Netherlands
- ☐ Poland
- ☐ Portugal
- ☐ Romania
- ☐ Slovak Republic
- ☐ Slovenia
- ☐ Spain
- ☐ Sweden

## I. Justice System

---

Please provide information on measures taken to follow-up on the recommendations received in the 2022 Report regarding the justice system (if applicable)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

### A. Independence

Appointment and selection of judges, prosecutors and court presidents (incl. judicial review)

*(The reference to 'judges' concerns judges at all level and types of courts as well as judges at constitutional courts)*

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Irremovability of judges, including transfers, (incl. as part of judicial map reform), dismissal and retirement regime of judges, court presidents and prosecutors (incl. judicial review)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Promotion of judges and prosecutors (incl. judicial review)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Allocation of cases in courts

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Independence (including composition and nomination and dismissal of its members), and powers of the body tasked with safeguarding the independence of the judiciary (e.g. Council for the Judiciary)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Accountability of judges and prosecutors, including disciplinary regime and bodies and ethical rules, judicial immunity and criminal/civil (where applicable) liability of judges (incl. judicial review)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Remuneration/bonuses/rewards for judges and prosecutors, including observed changes (significant and targeted increase or decrease over the past year), transparency on the system and access to the information

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Independence/autonomy of the prosecution service

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Independence of the Bar (chamber/association of lawyers) and of lawyers

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Significant developments capable of affecting the perception that the general public has of the independence of the judiciary

*3000 character(s) maximum*

## B. Quality of justice

*(Under this topic, you are not required to give statistical information but should provide input on the type of information outlined under section 2)*

Accessibility of courts (e.g. court/legal fees, legal aid, language)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Resources of the judiciary (human/financial/material)

*(Material resources refer e.g. to court buildings and other facilities)*

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Training of justice professionals (including judges, prosecutors, lawyers, court staff)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Digitalisation (e.g. use of digital technology, particularly electronic communication tools, within the justice system and with court users, including resilience of justice systems in COVID-19 pandemic)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Use of assessment tools and standards (e.g. ICT systems for case management, court statistics and their transparency, monitoring, evaluation, surveys among court users or legal professionals)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Geographical distribution and number of courts/jurisdictions (“judicial map”) and their specialization, in particular specific courts or chambers within courts to deal with fraud and corruption cases

*3000 character(s) maximum*

## C. Efficiency of the justice system

*(Under this topic, you are not required to give statistical information but should provide input on the type of information outlined under section 2)*

Length of proceedings

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Other - please specify

*3000 character(s) maximum*

## II. Anti-Corruption Framework

---

Where previous specific reports, published in the framework of the review under the UN Convention against Corruption, of GRECO, and of the OECD address the issues below, please make a reference to the points you wish to bring to the Commission's attention in these documents, indicating any relevant updates, changes or measures introduced that have occurred since these documents were published.

Please provide information on measures taken to follow-up on the recommendations received in the 2022 Report regarding the anti-corruption framework (if applicable)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

## A. The institutional framework capacity to fight against corruption (prevention and investigation / prosecution)

List any changes as regards relevant authorities (e.g. national agencies, bodies) in charge of prevention detection, investigation and prosecution of corruption and the resources allocated to each of these authorities (the human, financial, legal, and technical resources as relevant), including the cooperation among domestic authorities. Indicate any relevant measure taken to effectively and timely cooperate with OLAF and EPPO (where applicable)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Safeguards for the functional independence of the authorities tasked with the prevention and detection of corruption

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Information on the implementation of measures foreseen in the strategic anti-corruption framework (if applicable). If available, please provide relevant objectives and indicators

*3000 character(s) maximum*

## B. Prevention

Measures to enhance integrity in the public sector and their application (including as regards incompatibility rules, revolving doors, codes of conduct, ethics training). Please provide figures on their application

*3000 character(s) maximum*

General transparency of public decision-making, including rules on lobbying and their enforcement, asset disclosure rules and enforcement, gifts policy, transparency of political party financing

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Rules and measures to prevent conflict of interests in the public sector. Please specify the scope of their application (e.g. categories of officials concerned)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Measures in place to ensure whistleblower protection and encourage reporting of corruption.

*3000 character(s) maximum*

List the sectors with high-risks of corruption in your Member State and list the relevant measures taken /envisaged for monitoring and preventing corruption and conflict of interest in these sectors (e.g. public procurement, healthcare, citizen investor schemes, risk or cases of corruption linked to the disbursement of EU funds, other), and, where applicable, list measures to prevent and address corruption committed by organised crime groups (e.g. to infiltrate the public sector)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Any other relevant measures to prevent corruption in public and private sector

*3000 character(s) maximum*

## C. Repressive measures

Criminalisation, including the level of sanctions available by law, of corruption and related offences, including foreign bribery

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Data on investigation and application of sanctions for corruption offences, including for legal persons and high level and complex corruption cases and their transparency, including as regards to the implementation of EU funds

*(Please include, if available the number of (data since 2019): indictments; first instance convictions; first instance acquittals; final convictions; final acquittals; other outcomes (final) (i.e. excluding convictions and acquittals); cases adjudicated (final); imprisonment / custodial sentences through final convictions; suspended custodial sentences through final convictions; pending cases at the end of the reference year)*

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Potential obstacles to investigation and prosecution as well as to the effectiveness of criminal sanctions of high-level and complex corruption cases (e.g. political immunity regulation, procedural rules, statute of limitations, cross-border cooperation, pardoning)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Information on effectiveness of non-criminal measures and of sanctions (e.g. recovery measures and administrative sanctions) on both public and private offenders

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Other - please specify

*3000 character(s) maximum*

### III. Media Freedom and Pluralism

---

Please provide information on measures taken to follow-up on the recommendations received in the 2022 Report regarding media freedom and pluralism (if applicable)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

#### A. Media authorities and bodies

*(Cf. Article 30 of Directive 2018/1808)*

Measures taken to ensure the independence, enforcement powers and adequacy of resources (financial, human and technical) of media regulatory authorities and bodies

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Conditions and procedures for the appointment and dismissal of the head / members of the collegiate body of media regulatory authorities and bodies

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Existence and functions of media councils or other self-regulatory bodies

*3000 character(s) maximum*

## B. Safeguards against government or political interference and transparency and concentration of media ownership

Measures taken to ensure the fair and transparent allocation of state advertising (including any rules regulating the matter)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Safeguards against state / political interference, in particular:

- safeguards to ensure editorial independence of media (private and public)
- specific safeguards for the independence of heads of management and members of the governing boards of public service media (e.g. related to appointment, dismissal), safeguards for their operational independence (e.g. related to reporting obligations and the allocation of resources) and safeguards for plurality of information and opinions
- information on specific legal provisions and procedures applying to media service providers, including as regards granting/renewal/termination of licenses, company operation, capital entry requirements, concentration and corporate governance

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Transparency of media ownership and public availability of media ownership information, including on direct, indirect and beneficial owners, as well as any rules regulating the matter

## C. Framework for journalists' protection, transparency and access to documents

Rules and practices guaranteeing journalist's independence and safety, including as regards protection of journalistic sources and communications

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Law enforcement capacity, including during protests and demonstrations, to ensure journalists' safety and to investigate attacks on journalists

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Access to information and public documents (incl. transparency authorities where they exist, procedures, costs/fees, timeframes, administrative/judicial review of decisions, execution of decisions by public authorities, possible obstacles related to the classification of information)



3000 character(s) maximum

Lawsuits (incl. SLAPPs - strategic lawsuits against public participation) and convictions against journalists (incl. defamation cases) and measures taken to safeguard against manifestly unfounded and abusive lawsuits

3000 character(s) maximum

Other - please specify

3000 character(s) maximum

## IV. Other institutional issues related to checks and balances

---

Please provide information on measures taken to follow-up on the recommendations received in the 2022 Report regarding the system of checks and balances (if applicable)

3000 character(s) maximum

### A. The process for preparing and enacting laws

Framework, policy and use of impact assessments and evidence based policy-making, stakeholders'[1] /public consultations (particularly consultation of judiciary and other relevant stakeholders on judicial reforms), and transparency and quality of the legislative process

[1] This includes also the consultation of social partners

3000 character(s) maximum

Rules and use of fast-track procedures and emergency procedures (for example, the percentage of decisions adopted through emergency/urgent procedure compared to the total number of adopted decisions)

3000 character(s) maximum

Regime for constitutional review of laws

3000 character(s) maximum

COVID-19: provide update on significant developments with regard to emergency regimes/measures in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic

- judicial review (including constitutional review) of emergency regimes and measures in the context of COVID-19 pandemic
- oversight (incl. ex-post reporting/investigation) by Parliament of emergency regimes and measures in the context of COVID-19 pandemic
- processes related to lessons learned/crisis preparedness in terms of the functioning of checks and balances

*3000 character(s) maximum*

## B. Independent authorities

Independence, resources, capacity and powers of national human rights institutions ('NHRIs'), of ombudsman institutions if different from NHRIs, of equality bodies if different from NHRIs and of supreme audit institutions

(Cf. the website of the European Court of Auditors: <https://www.eca.europa.eu/en/Pages/SupremeAuditInstitutions.aspx#>)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Statistics/reports concerning the follow-up of recommendations by National Human Rights Institutions, ombudsman institutions, equality bodies and supreme audit institutions in the past two years

*3000 character(s) maximum*

## C. Accessibility and judicial review of administrative decisions

Transparency of administrative decisions and sanctions (incl. their publication and rules on collection of related data)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Judicial review of administrative decisions:

- short description of the general regime (in particular competent court, scope, suspensive effect, interim measures, and any applicable specific rules or derogations from the general regime of judicial review)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

Follow-up by the public administration and State institutions to final (national/supranational) court decisions, as well as available remedies in case of non-implementation

3000 character(s) maximum

## D. The enabling framework for civil society

Measures regarding the framework for civil society organisations and human rights defenders (e.g. legal framework and its application in practice incl. registration and dissolution rules)

3000 character(s) maximum

- Steps towards further improvement of the non-for-profit legal persons legislation were undertaken in 2022. A Bill proposing the introduction of clear rules on participation in online assemblies of the supreme bodies of the NGOs was filed by multi-partisan group of MPs in the Parliament. This would resolve the issue with the recognition by the State Registration Agency of decisions of the supreme bodies of NGOs taken during online assemblies. Despite approval of both state actors and NGOs, by the end of 2022 the Bill was still pending. - <https://www.parliament.bg/bg/bills/ID/164482>
- An issue of the legal framework concerning NGOs remains the anti-money laundering/terrorist financing legislation. One-size-fits-all approach to all NGOs is still applied in some cases e.g. all NGOs in Bulgaria should declare their Beneficial Owner and make the information publicly available in the Public Registry (obligations: <http://bcnl.org/en/news/what-are-the-main-obligations-of-ngos-under-the-measures-against-money-laundering-act.html>) . This approach is not risk based as the conclusions of the National Risk Assessment published in 2019 show medium risk of the CSO sector mostly connected to the excluded from the scope of the law religious organizations.
- The AML/TF measures also affect the access of NGOs to bank services. There is a tendency amongst banks to require excessive information (i.e. submitting donations contracts) and forms not tailored to the CSOs sector's specifics in order to conduct a background check of an NGO using or wishing to use the services of a bank. Some banks also demand a fee for reviewing the application for opening an account of an NGOs. Results of the background checks are not shared with the concerned party and there are no adequate mechanisms set up for challenging the bank decisions.
- The Revival Party filed in the Parliament a Foreign Agents Registration Bill. The Bill proposes restrictive measures similar to the Russian Foreign Agents legislation - <http://bcnl.org/en/news/proposal-to-adopt-a-foreign-agents-registration-act-in-bulgaria-when-a-legislative-initiative-is-used-for-political-propaganda-and-an-attack-on-civil-rights.html> . A letter opposing the Bill was signed by 180 organizations and was sent to national and EU institutions - <http://bcnl.org/en/news/180-civil-society-organizations-said-no-to-the-law-on-foreign-agents.html>
- A process of reregistration of the NGOs from the courts to the centralized State Registration Agency is undergoing since 2018. According to § 25 of the Non-profit Legal Persons Act the NGOs had to reregister until 31.12.2022. Following the international standards and good practices in December 2022 the State Registration Agency announced that it will continue to reregister NGOs after the expiration of the prescribed by law deadline effectively considering it instructive rather than preclusive.

Rules and practices having an impact on the effective operation and safety of civil society organisations and human rights defenders. This includes measures for protection from attacks – verbal, physical or on-line –, intimidation, legal threats incl. SLAPPs, negative narratives or smear campaigns, measures capable of affecting the public perception of civil society organisations, etc. It also includes measures to monitor threats or attacks and dedicated support services.

3000 character(s) maximum

- There are no protective measures against smear campaigns against NGOs. Meanwhile legislative proposals are often used to undermine the image of the SCOs - <http://bcnl.org/en/news/proposal-to-adopt-a-foreign-agents-registration-act-in-bulgaria-when-a-legislative-initiative-is-used-for-political-propaganda-and-an-attack-on-civil-rights.html> . In 2022 the Foreign Agents Registration Bill served such a purpose - <http://bcnl.org/en/news/180-civil-society-organizations-said-no-to-the-law-on-foreign-agents.html>
- In 2022 two identical Bills to amend the crime of human smuggling in the Penal Code were failed in the Parliament. The Bills propose to remove the specific purpose of the perpetrator of the crime of human smuggling – to obtain a financial or other material benefit for oneself or another, from the existing legal definition. The adoption of such amendments would be a clear diversion from the internationally recognized definition of human smuggling as set in the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, Supplementing the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime (2000) and would have a chilling effect on NGOs and volunteers working with refugees as they will be under threat of criminal prosecution.
- According to Art. 148 of the Bulgarian Penal Code, when insult or slander is directed at a public official during or in connection with the fulfilment of his/her duties or function, the penalty for insult is increased to a fine of BGN 3,000 to BGN 10,000 and the punishment for slander is increased to a fine of BGN 5,000 to BGN 15,000. Public censure may also be ordered. This provision of the Penal Code is commonly instrumentalized for SLAPPs against journalist despite several decisions of the ECtHR against Bulgaria. For instance, in the case *Kasabova v. Bulgaria* (decision issued 19.07.2011) the ECtHR held that a criminal defamation conviction which resulted in a journalist being required to pay, in fines and damages, the equivalent of thirty five times her monthly salary violated the right to freedom of expression. In its decision on the case *Bozhkov v. Bulgaria* (decision issued 19.07.2011) the ECtHR also explicitly explains that treating the defamation of a public official as an aggravating circumstance contradicts the well-established jurisprudence of the Court (& 44, <https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-104541> ) In 2022 the Ministry of Justice proposed a Bill to amend the Penal Code in light of the ECtHR's decisions by abolishing the official capacity of the victim of an alleged defamation as an aggravating circumstance and significantly decreasing the size of the fines for defamation and insult. By the end of 2022 the Bill passed the first of two voting procedures in the Parliament <https://www.parliament.bg/bg/bills/ID/164367>

Organisation of financial support for civil society organisations and human rights defenders (e.g. framework to ensure access to funding, and for financial viability, taxation/incentive/donation systems, measures to ensure a fair distribution of funding)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

- The financial sustainability remains a main challenge faced by the CSOs sector in Bulgaria - <https://csosi.org/>
- According to the latest CSO Sustainability Index report on Bulgaria the main issue with the financial sustainability of the Bulgarian CSOs is the lack of diversification of the sources of funding. Certain important fields of work of the CSOs, namely human rights, rely nearly exclusively on few foreign donors. Meanwhile the State funding schemes are lacking, and the existing ones are not transparent and often subject to abuse. Notable example is the National Program for the Implementation of Youth Activities under Article 10a of the Gambling Act which is a mechanism for funding youth projects as part of the implementation of the national youth policy with a budget exceeding 5 million BGN per year - <https://nism.bg/en/nacionalna-programa-za-izp-lnenie-na-mladezki-dejnosti-po-cl-10a-ot-zakona-za-hazarta>
- The access of Bulgarian NGOs to EU funding provided by the European Structural and Investment Funds is also limited. For number of years the Bulgarian State authorities treat any funding provided to a CSO via the EU funded programs as state aid without the needed analysis required by Regulation (EU) 651/2014. This means that all EU funding received by Bulgarian CSOs falls under the de minimus rule which puts a limit of the received funding of 200 000 euro for each undertaking over a 3-year period. This unjust treatment additionally limits the access to funding of the CSO sector.

- Bulgaria also fails to create new financial mechanisms to support the civic sector. A notable example for that is the grant scheme under art. 4 of the Non-profit Legal Persons Act. According to the law adopted in 2018 the Council for Civil Society Development oversees the redistribution of funds to support projects of CSOs. Due to the delayed creation of the Council, however, this mechanism has never been realized. Although, the Council was created in 2022 the drafting of the needed regulatory framework for the mechanism was delayed due to the refugee crisis and the uneven work of the Council as a consequence of the change of power in the country.

Rules and practices on the participation of civil society organisations and human rights defenders to the decision-making process (e.g. measures related to dialogue between authorities and civil society, participation of civil society in policy development and decision-making, consultation, dialogues, etc.)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

- Although the Council for Civil Society Development was set up in early 2022 it still has no secretariat in the scope of the Council of Ministers administration. The Council also works irregularly as it took more than 2 months for the care-taker government appointed in mid-2022 to gather the Council once more.
- In July 2022 Bulgaria adopted its 4th National Action Plan in the scope of the Open Government Partnership Initiative (OGP). The adoption of the Plan came with a four-year delay and after a decision of the Steering Committee of OGP from March 2022 to designate Bulgaria as inactive in the OGP. Fortunately, after the adoption of the National Plan Bulgaria is once more an active member of OGP and can move forward with the fulfilment of the commitments made. It is important to note that all commitments taken with the National Plan are to be carried out in close partnership between different governmental bodies and NGOs. To monitor the execution of the plan a Multistakeholder Forum was formed with the participation of both state and non-state actors. The Forum held its first meeting in December 2022. The implementation of some of the measures envisaged in the Plan are however delayed. In the final version of the National Plan there are is no budget for its implementation and no funding commitments are taken by the state.
- There were no support mechanisms set up for CSOs during the COVID pandemic. The CSOs with the exception, to certain extend, of the social enterprise were also excluded from Bulgarian Recovery and Resilience Plan. There is also no mechanism for CSOs to be included in the monitoring process of the implementation of the Recovery and Resilience Plan.
- NGOs were included by the Ministry of Justice in working groups and non-public preliminary consultations for drafting amendments of the criminal and criminal procedural codes to bring the legislation in line with ECtHR case law as well as with the EU acquis. As a result a Bill was filed in Parliament in the end of 2022 - <https://www.parliament.bg/bg/bills/ID/164590?fbclid=IwAR2ZA2Cvmap7a-TIe6IBbtFst03fyL1X0FgNF7In7cbWcPQcXfg1hevAUg>. The Bill proposes many amendments for which human rights defenders have been advocating for many years e.g. the criminalization of hate crimes against LGBT+ people. Transparency of this form of dialogue between authorities and civil society, however, is concerning .

## E. Initiatives to foster a rule of law culture

Measures to foster a rule of law culture (e.g. debates in national parliaments on the rule of law, public information campaigns on rule of law issues, contributions from civil society etc.)

*3000 character(s) maximum*

- Bills are often published on the website of the Parliament in format which does not allow automated search of the text making it very difficult for advocacy organizations and the general public to process to information
- Often results of public consultations are not published together with the Bill on the website of the Parliament and does documents are in most cases not made public

Other - please specify

*3000 character(s) maximum*

## Contact

rule-of-law-network@ec.europa.eu